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#### Abstract

Even before the Delhi Higher Secondary (Science) Examination (1974) results and the National Science Talent Search Test (1974) results were out (the interview for the latter was still being held) a prediction for achievement in Science of a group of students appearing in these was made on 1 st june, 1074 and submitted to the Head of the Department of Education in Science and Mathematics, National Council for Educational Research and Training (NCERT) by this author - based on the technique of topographical analysis of the scatterplot between the distribution of the newly developed Scientific Creativity Test scores and lQ of these subjects. The predictions came out to be highly significant. The study is detailed below:


## PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The study was undertaken to test, a priori, the predictive validity of the newlyconstructed Scientific Creativity Test series that was being developed under the Department of Science Education (renamed, Department of Education in Science and Mathematics), NCERT for the purpose of utilization by the National Science Talent Search Scheme (now renamed National Talent Search Unit). The other purpose was to verify the validity of some basic postulates related to this study.

## PROCEDURE

Tools The test-series utilized here consisted of Scientific Creativity Test Parts I and II, containing 29 SI-factor subtests. These subtests were constructed keeping the psychometric construct of the concerned SI-factor intact and introducing contents from the areas of science, namely, - Physics, Chemistry, Biology and Mathematics. The test construct was detailed in a Monograph published by the NCERT (Majumdar 1973) and was presented in a paper read before the Nagpur session of the Indian Science Congress in 1974 (Majumdar 1974).

The other tests included in the test-series were an lQ -Test (Cattells' Culture Fair Test of pure " g ", Scale 3, Forms A and B) and a Personality Test for Extraversion and Neuroticism (Maudsley Personality Inventory by Eysenck).

Population For the purpose of this study, the abovementioned test-series was administered to a group of 60 class XI Higher Secondary science students of

Lady Irwin Girls' Higher Secondary School, New Delhi, in July-August, 1973. The reason for choosing this school was that it had obtained the largest number of NSTS scholarships amongst all the schools in India during the last few years.

Criteria Two criteria came in handy for predictive validation - (1) The Delhi Higher Secondary (Science) Examination, 1974, and (2) National Science Talent Search Test, 1974. While all the subjects appeared in the former only about twenty appeared in the latter. Thus, the Higher Secondary (Science) Examination (1974) scores appeared to be a more suitable criterion for the purpose of determining the predictive validity.

IQ-Creativity Postulates The hypotheses for the prediction were based on the following postulates:
(1) The study of IQ-Creativity (DP) score relationship by Guilford (1967) and a replication of the same by this author (Majumdar, 1970) both showed a typical triangular scatterplot - indicating, in the words of Guilford that "although high $1 Q$ is not a sufficient condition for high DP ability, it is almost a necessary condition.
(2) The Gatzels-Jackson study (1962) that in spite of 23 points difference in mean IQ between the "High Creative (with low IQ)" the "High IQ (with low creativity) groups were found to be equally superior in achievement scores.
(3) Anderson's (1960) Threshold Concept that beyond a cut-off point in IQ, it is Creativity that is more responsible for achievement.

Topographical Rank-Ordering for Prediction
On the basis of the three postulates above a synthetic approach had been made in putting forward the prediction hypotheses through the analysis of the scatterplot between Scientific Creativity (SC) Test scores and IQ. A topographical rank-ordering of the subjects based on the positions of the individuals on the said scatterplot, as well as the quadrant-wise analysis of the groups formed by the intersection of the mean lines of the two distributions, were taken recourse to.

The performance of the individuals being dependent on both SC score and IQ variables in manners described in postulates noted above, the best way considered to rank the individuals on the combined (IQ+SC)-score was to scan the scatterplot from top-right to bottom-left by a sliding tangent-form (slope form) making an angle $\theta$ with the $X$-axis inclined towards left of the diagram (Fig. 1), where $\theta=\tan -1 \frac{\text { Range of SC scores }}{\text { Range of }}$

Range of IQ
The underlying assumption, here, being that when both the distributions are normal, for a particular position of the tangent-form, all points on it will have the same combined ( $\mathrm{Q} Q+\mathrm{SC}$ )-score. Even if the distributions are not both normal or have a somewhat curvilinear relationship this fact will remain more or less true. As the tangent-form is moved from right to left, the combined score at any position on it gets gradually reduced, and every individual's position as it comes upon the line, can be noted down in sequential rank.

Prediction Hypotheses based on 1Q-SC Ranking
Hypothesis I: For the whole population, the IQ+SC topographical rank ordering will predict the outcome of any test of achievement in science and mathematics.

Hypothesis II: Similar rank orders for the quadrant-groups will predict similar outcomes of achievements within the ge groups.

The predictive validity for IQ and SC-scores, separately, in relation to achievements in HS (and NSTS) would also be found out.

The various quadrant-wise analysis of the groups formed by the intersection of the mean lines of the IQ and SC distributions in the scatterplot would also help verification of the postulates.

## Personalistic postulates

(a) According to Cattell (1963), Golovin (1963) and various other authorities on the subject, extraverts are not likely to be Creative Scientists, or good achievers in science.
(b) Terman $(1930,1947)$ and Anne Roe (1953) found the talented and Creative Scientists to be stable and well-adjusted. According to both (Terman, 1947 and Roe, 1963) neurotic tendency is contrary to Creative Personality.

The above two postulates were to be verified in the itght of the findings and valid conclusions drawn (Majumdar 1973, pages 15 to 19).

## FINDINGS

The means and standard deviations of the various test-score distributions for the whole population are as follows:

|  | SC <br> Test <br> Scores | IQ | Neuro- <br> ticism | Extra- <br> version | HS <br> Sc. <br> marks | HS <br> Total <br> marks | NSTS <br> (only <br> awardees) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Mean | 82.27 | 95.63 | 25.60 | 26.57 | 397.83 | 515.12 | 136.6 |
| SD | 21.10 | 13.50 | 7.65 | 7.45 |  |  |  |

The detailed scores are to be found in Table 1.
The product-moment Correlations between the variables for the whole population ( $\mathrm{N}=60$ ) are as follows:

|  | IQ | HS Sc. marks | Neuroticism | Extra- <br> version |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC <br> Test Scores | $* *$ | .54 | $* *$ | $* *$ | 0.52 |
| IQ |  | $* *$ | .53 | + | 0.29 |
| HS Science <br> marks |  |  | $* * 0.57$ |  |  |

$+\quad$ Significant at .05 level

* Significant at. 01 level
** Significant at . 001 level


## Re: Higher Secondary Examination in Science

It was found that the top 11 positions in the Higher Secondary (Science)
Examination were obtained by the High SC - High IQ group (Quadrant I of the scatterplot).

Of the subsequent six positions (12th to 17 th) two were obtained by the above group and the rest (four) by the High SC - Low IQ group (Quadrant II).

Thus all the top 17 positions go to the High SC - groups (Quadrants I an II). But none of these top positions go to the High IQ - Low SC group. (Fig. 1 indicates these.)

The means of the different test scores, and the number of NSTS awards for the four Quadrants are as follows:

|  | Popu~ <br> lation | SC <br> Score <br> Means | IQ <br> Means | Neuro- <br> ticism <br> Means | Extra~ <br> version <br> Means | HS <br> Total <br> Means | HS Sc. <br> marks <br> Means | NSTS <br> No. of <br> awards |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quadrant I <br> (High SC - <br> High IQ) | 20 | 103.13 | 108.25 | 21.10 | 25.70 | 581.05 | 454.15 <br> (13 top <br> positions) | 8 |
| Quadrant II <br> (High SC <br> Low IQ) | 9 | 91.75 | 88.00 | 26.22 | 28.00 | 514.78 | 395.89 <br> $(4$ top <br> positions) | 3 |
| Quadrant IV <br> (High IQ <br> Low SC) | 9 | 68.31 | 106.11 | 28.89 | 26.11 | 498.78 | 390.45 | Nil |
| (Nil) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quadrant III <br> (Low IQ - | 22 | 65.15 | 83.00 | 28.09 | 26.95 | 462.00 | 350.45 | Nil |
| Low SC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total <br> Population | 60 | 82.27 | 95.63 | 25.06 | 26.57 | 515.12 | 397.83 | 11 |

The rank-difference correlations between the marks of HS Science subjects and the topographical rankings based on IQ-SC Composite (Table - 2 ) is 0.61 , significant at 0.001 level.

The rank-difference correlations within groups are as follows:

## HIGH SC - HIGH IQ GROUP $(\mathrm{N}=20)$ <br> (QUADRANT I)

Between SC and HS Science marks 0.66 Significant at 0.001 level
Between (IQ+SC) and HS Science marks 0.65 Significant at 0.01 level
Between IQ and HS Science marks 0.36 Not Significant at 0.05 level

HIGH CREATIVE GROUPS (N=29)
(QUADRANTS I \& II)

Between SC \& HS Sc. marks
0.61 Significant at 0.001 level

Between IQ \& HS Sc. marks
0.51 Significant at 0.01 level
$\frac{\text { HIGH IQ GROUPS }(\mathrm{N}=29)}{(\text { QUADRANTS I \& IV) }}$

Between SC \& HS Sc. marks $\quad 0.52$ Significant at 0.01 level
Between IQ \& HS Sc. marks
0.16 Not Significant

## Re: National Science Talent Scheme Test Results:

Of the 11 NSTS awards obtained by this population, the High SC-High IQ group secured 8 awards, and the High SC-Low IQ groups obtained 3. The other groups obtained none.

Within the NSTS group ( $\mathrm{N}=11$ ) the rank-difference correlations were as below:

Between SC \& HS Sc. marks 0.77 Significant at 0.01 level
Between (IQ \& SC) \& HS Sc. marks 0.70 Significant at 0.01 level
Between IQ \& HS Sc. marks 0.60 Significant at 0.05 level
But the rank-difference correlations between NSTS test scores and all the other test scores were not significant:

| Between NSTS \& SC | 0.16 Not Significant |
| :--- | :--- |
| Between NSTS \& (IQ+SC) | 0.18 Not Significant |
| Between NSTS \& IQ | 0.25 Not Significant |
| Between NSTS HS Sc. marks | 0.36 Not Significant |

## DISCUSSION

The first hypothesis regarding the prediction of Achievement in science for the whole population on the basis of topographically-determined rank-order ( $\mathrm{IQ}+\mathrm{SC}$ ) was found to be valid in respect of Higher Secondary Science marks. The Predictive Validity of 0.61 is highly significant (at 0.001 level) and is highest when compared to that of IQ or SC alone.

The second hypothesis regarding topographically-determined rank-orders within groups as predictors, is valid only in the case of High SC-High IQ group, the Predictive Validity being 0.65 , which is significant at 0.01 level. In other Quadrant groups, however, the correlations were not significant (within the NSTS group its correlation with HS Sc. subjects is 0.70 , which is significant at 0.01 level).

Predictive Validity of SC Test
The SC test scores were equally good, or in a way even better predictor of Higher Secondary Science marks. While for the whole group the Predictive Validity is 0.06 significant at 0.001 level, for the High Creative (Quadrants I and II) is 0.61 at the same significant level. Again while the Predictive Validity for High IQ groups (Quadrants I and IV) is 0.52 , that for the High SC-High IQ group is 0.66 (both significant at the 0.001 level) and that for the NSTS group is 0.77 (significant at 0.01 level). For the other Quadrants, the correlations were not significant.

## Predictive Validity of IQ Test

IQ had a low Predictive Validity in the whole population as a whole and had no significant Predictive Validity in any of the Quadrants taken separately or even in Quadrants I and IV taken together. However, it had a Predictive Validity of 0.50 significant at 0.01 level in the High Creative groups, Quadrants I and II taken as a whole.

## Predictive Validity of NSTS Tests

The NSTS tests, had no significant Predictive Validity with respect to SC, (IQ+SC) or IQ scores, considered here. No valid conclusion could be drawn from this since the sample under consideration was unfortunately very small. Nevertheless all NSTS awardees were amongst the top HS achievers, and all were High Creatives at the same time.

The performance of the Quadrant-wise groups have some special characteristics. As expected, the High SC-High IQ (Quadrant I) group has definitely performed very well, acquiring 13 out of the top 17 positions, in HS Exam., and 8 out of the 11 NSTS awards. To our utter surprise, however, the High SC-Low IQ group (Quadrant II) comes next in performance acquiring the balance of top HS positions and NSTS awards. While the High IQ-Low SC group (Quadrant IV) gets none, even though the former group has a mean IQ of 88 and the latter a mean IQ of 106.

Regarding the postulates that were verified in this study, the following points are noteworthy:
(1) We obtained a triangular-type scatterplot between IQ and SC scores as expected.
(2) The Getzels-Jackson cut-off points were higher. Thus the fact that achievements of High SC-Low IQ group is superior to that of the High IQ-Low SC group suggests that the Getzels-Jackson postulate needs modification that both groups are equally superior in Achievement. (Getzels-Jackson cut-off points were higher.)
(3) The Anderson Threshold Concept that beyond a cut-off point of IQ, it is Creativity that is responsible for achievement remains perfectly applicable in our study as amongst the High Creatives groups (Quadrants I and IV) SC score has a Predictive Validity of 0.52 for achievement in science subjects whereas $I Q$ has ( 0.16 ) a no significant predictive validity.

## Personality of High Achievers and Creatives

Regarding the relationships with the personality variables, Extroversion and Neuroticism; we should note the following:

Neuroticism has high negative correlations with SC scores and HS (Sc) scores, being 0.52 and 0.38 , significant at both 0.001 and 0.01 levels. With IQ, the correlation is 0.29 which is significant at 0.05 level.

Extroversion has very low negative correlations with SC scores and HS ( Sc ) scores, being 0.03 and 0.05 respectively. Whereas it has a high negative correlation with $\mathrm{IQ}(0.57)$, which is significant at 0.001 level.

We can say then that the High Creatives and High Achievers in the field of science are generally stable and not extroverts.

## Conclusion

The Scientific Creativity Test is a very good predictor of Scientific Achievements. High SC score generally, and High SC+High Q particularly, go with talent in the field of Science. The talented in the field of Science (High Creatives and High Achievers) are also generally highly stable (very low in Neuroticism) and not extroverts (rather low in Extroversion).

As the three variables: SC Test Scores, IQ and Neuroticism were all found to be very good predictors of Scientific Achievement, it will be worthwhile to find out the multiple correlations and multiple regression equations based on these variables for prediction of achievement or performance in the field of Science.

Fig. 1 - IQ-SC scatterṕlot (indicating performance in NSTS and HS Sc. examinations).

Table 1 - Score Table for the whole population (Lady Irwin HS School, Class XI Sc. 1973-74).

Table 2 - IQ-SC Composite Rank-Order of the whole population (Lady Irwin HS School, Class XI Sc. 1973-74).

Table 3 - Score Table for Quadrant I
Table 4 - Score Table for Quadrant II

Table 5- Score Table for Quadrant IV
Table 6 - Score Table for Quadrant III
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## Table 2

Rank order of the whole population of class XI science students (Sec. A and B, Lady Irwin Girls School) topographically located in the scatterplot between IQ and Scientific Creativity Test Scores

| Rank order | Serial No. in order of IQ | Rank order | Serial No. in order of IQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | (3) | 31 | (46) |
| 2. | (6) | 32 | (31) |
| 3. | (1) | 33 | (29) |
| 4. | (2) | 34 | (15) |
| 5. | (12) | 35 | (39) |
| 6. | (10) | 36 | (37) |
| 7. | (5) | 37 | (40) |
| 8. | (14) | 38 | (35) |
| 9. | (9) | 39 | (42) |
| 10. | (24) | 40 | (27) |
| 11. | (21) | 41 | (41) |
| 12. | (16) | 42 | (48) |
| 13. | (4) | 43 | (43) |
| 14. | (11) | 44 | (49) |
| 15. | (7) | 45 | (45) |
| 16. | (8) | 46 | (34) |
| 17. | (19) | 47 | (47) |
| 18. | (23) | 48 | (56) |
| 19. | (22) | 49 | (50) |
| 20. | (32) | 50 | (52) |
| 21. | (26) | 51 | (44) |
| 22. | (30) | 52 | (53) |
| 23. | (36) | 53 | (55) |
| 24. | (18) | 54 | (61) |
| 25. | (20) | 55 | (54) |
| 26. | (17) | 56 | (5y) |
| 27. | (38) | 57 | (57) |
| 28. | (22) | 58 | (60) |
| 29. | (13) | 59 | (51) |
| 30. | (28) | 60 | (58) |

* Not considered for computations.
Table-1
Test Results of Class XI (1973-74) Science Students of Lady Irwin Higher Secondary School, New Delhi

|  | $\stackrel{-}{9}$ | 9\%9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| かも- <br>  |  |  |  |
|  <br>  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  <br>  |  |  |  |
|  <br>  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  <br>  |  |  |  |
|  | ற̇- | Ni | - |



Table - 3
Quadrant I
(High IQ and High SC)

| Quadrant <br> Rank <br> Order | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IQ } \\ & \text { scores } \end{aligned}$ | Neuroticism | Extraversion | SC scores | HS <br> Total | HS <br> Science <br> subjects | NSTS scores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.** | 117 | 10 | 9 | 132.25 | 695 | 531 | 158 |
| $2 . *+$ | 116 | 7 | 39 | 132.50 | 636 | 505 | 130 |
| 3.*+ | 124 | 21 | 32 | 101.75 | 662 | $5: 8$ | 1.40 |
| $4 .+$ | 109 | 17 | 7 | 118.25 | 633 | 496 |  |
| 5.+ | 113 | 21 | 36 | 110.25 | 650 | 505 |  |
| 6. | 117 | 24 | 23 | 102.75 | 546 | 446 |  |
| 7.*+ | 116 | 28 | 16 | 100.50 | 624 | 497 | 141 |
| 8. ${ }_{\text {+ }}$ | 109 | 20 | 30 | 112.00 | 630 | 505 | 131 |
| 9.+ | 113 | 19 | 10 | 94.50 | 649 | 513 |  |
| 10.*+ | 100 | 17 | 20 | 119.50 | 677 | 522 | 140 |
| 11. | 103 | 10 | 38 | 113.50 | 52.9 | 414 |  |
| 12.+ | 109 | 36 | 36 | 96.00 | 614 | 489 |  |
| 13. | 109 | 24 | 18 | 93.25 | 329 | 223 |  |
| $14 .+$ | 113 | 24 | 32 | 82.75 | 602 | 479 |  |
| 15. | 106 | 23 | 30 | 87.25 | 448 | 341 |  |
| 16.*+ | 100 | 20 | 20 | 95.75 | 603 | 484 | 139 |
| 17. | 103 | 30 | 33 | 89.00 | 455 | 333 |  |
| 18. | 96 | 17 | 21 | 101.25 | 537 | 399 |  |
| 19.** | 96 | 25 | 33 | 98.50 | 622 | 498 | 132 |
| 20. | 96 | 29 | 31 | 81.00 | 480 | 375 |  |
| Means | 108.25 | 21.10 | 25.70 | 103.13 | 581.05 | 454.15 |  |

Table - 4
Quadrant II
(High SC and Low IQ)


Table - 5
Quadrant IV
(High IQ and Low SC)

| Quadrant <br> Rank <br> Order | IQ scores | Neuroticism | Extraversion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC } \\ & \text { scores } \end{aligned}$ | HS <br> Total | HS <br> Science subjects | NSTS scores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 117 | 24 | 28 | 77.75 | 519 | 419 |  |
| 2. | 113 | 28 | 32 | 73.75 | 421 | 326 |  |
| 3. | 106 | 32 | 32 | 75.25 | 540 | 423 |  |
| 4. | 103 | 24 | 24 | 80.00 | 523 | 432 |  |
| 5. | 106 | 38 | 22 | 68.00 | 498 | 376 |  |
| 6. | 109 | 29 | 31 | 59.00 | 526 | 412 |  |
| 7. | 96 | 31 | 23 | 74.75 | 461 | 351 |  |
| 8. | 109 | 30 | 22 | 48.25 | 481 | 374 |  |
| 9. | 96 | 24 | 21 | 58.00 | 520 | 411 |  |
| Mens | 106.11 | 28.89 | 26.11 | 68.31 | 498.78 | 390.45 |  |

Table-6
Quadrant III
(Low SC and Low IQ)

| Quadrant Rank Order | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{IQ} \\ & \text { scores } \end{aligned}$ | Neuroticism | Extraversion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC } \\ & \text { scores } \end{aligned}$ | HS <br> Total | HS <br> Science subjects | NSTS scores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 91 | 36 | 32 | 80.50 | 449 | 346 |  |
| 2. | 94 | 21 | 27 | 70.75 | 416 | 313 |  |
| 3. | 91 | 17 | 32 | 74.00 | 557 | 449 |  |
| 4. | 94 | 36 | 29 | 66.75 | 508 | 392 |  |
| 5. | 88 | 23 | 24 | 75.00 | 490 | 372 |  |
| 6. | 91 | 28 | 30 | 64.50 | 465 | 323 |  |
| 7. | 88 | 39 | 25 | 69.75 | 485 | 355 |  |
| 8. | 85 | 20 | 24 | 62.25 | 490 | 374 |  |
| 9. | 85 | 32 | 20 | 74.50 | 399 | 304 |  |
| 10. | 94 | 29 | 24 | 55.75 | 419 | 315 |  |
| 11. | 81 | 18 | 34 | 77.50 | 414 | 300 |  |
| 12. | 81 | 17 | 26 | 75.50 | 427 | 312 |  |
| 13. | 81 | 38 | 35 | 70.00 | 549 | 431 |  |
| 14. | 81 | 37 | 26 | 67.00 | 507 | 399 |  |
| 15. | 78 | 18 | 18 | 65.65 | 544 | 445 |  |
| 16. | 70 | 43 | 34 | 79.75 | 544 | 445 |  |
| 17. | 78 | 30 | 23 | 59.25 | 458 | 350 |  |
| 18. | 72 | 24 | 24 | 66.25 | 467 | 337 |  |
| 19. | 75 | 24 | 38 | 52.00 | 466 | 352 |  |
| 20. | 72 | 26 | 25 | 56.25 | 491 | 372 |  |
| 21. | 81 | 30 | 26 | 35.50 | 496 | 388 |  |
| 22. | 75 | 32 | 17 | 34.75 | 287 | 194 |  |
| Means | 83 | 28.09 | 26.95 | 65.15 | 462 | 350.45 |  |

